Nixon Peabody LLP Attorneys at Law

101 Federal Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1832 (617) 345-1000

Fax: (617) 345-1300

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information in this fax is intended for the named recipients only. It contains privileged and confidential matter. If you have received this fax in error, please notify us immediately by a collect telephone call to (617) 345-1000 and return the original to the sender by mall. We will reimburse you for postage. Do not disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.

FAX

To:		Company	7	Fax #:	Tele	phone #:
 Examiner J. Fredman, C 	Group	USPTO		703.305.3014		
2)						
3)						
4)						
5)	E NUMBE	RS MUST INCLUDE COUNT	ev a City con	E SECLOCAL WAY	E BACES FOR CODUS	NEEDED
INTERCATIONAL PHON	E NOMBI	MOST INCLUDE COUNT			LE PAGES POR CODES	NEEDED-
From: Ronald I, Eisenstein	Date	December 6, 2001	No. of Pag (including	es: this page) /人		
Comments:						
					FAX FI	ECEIVED
					DEC	0 6 2001
					GRO	UP 1600
☐ First Class Mail ☐ Overni	ght Mail	Original of the transmi			ly form of delivery of this	document
F VOII DO NOT DECET	COTE AT	OF THREE DACES	TOT TO A COM C	ONTE LOS STATES		
F YOU DO NOT RECEI AS POSSIBLE AT: (617)	345-12	L OF THESE PAGES 46. THANK YOU	S, PLEASE C	CONTACT THE	FAX OPERATO	R AS SOON
, ,						
CONFIRMATION: DATE SENT			TIME		RV	
					_ 21	
	y (em Virgin	□ Boston □ Buffalo ia □ Orange County	□ Garden City	□ Hartford □ Rochester	☐ Manchester	New York City
□ North		ia Orange County	□ Garden City □ Providence	☐ Hartford ☐ Rochester	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco	☐ New York City ☐ Washington
	em Virgin		□ Garden City □ Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax #:	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco	New York City
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, C	em Virgin	a Orange County Company	□ Garden City □ Providence	☐ Hartford ☐ Rochester	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco	□ New York City □ Washington
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, (1655) 2)	em Virgin	a Orange County Company	□ Garden City □ Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax #:	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco	□ New York City □ Washington
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, 6 1655 2)	em Virgin	a Orange County Company	□ Garden City □ Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax #:	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco	□ New York City □ Washington
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, (1655 2) 3) 4)	em Virgin Group	Company US PTO	☐ Garden City ☐ Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax #: 703.305.3014	☐ Manchester ☐ San Frencisco Tele	□ New York City □ Washington phone #:
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, (1655 2) 3) 4)	em Virgin Group	a Orange County Company	☐ Garden City ☐ Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax #: 703.305.3014	☐ Manchester ☐ San Frencisco Tele	□ New York City □ Washington phone #:
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, (1655 2) 3) 4) 5)	Group B NUMBE	Company US PTO RS MUST INCLUDE COUNT	Garden City Providence	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax#: 703.305.3014	☐ Manchester ☐ San Francisco Tele	New York City Washington Phone #: NEEDED.
To: 1) Examiner J. Fredman, (1655 2) 3) 4) 5) INTERNATIONAL PHON	Group B NUMBE	Company US PTO RS MUST INCLUDE COUNTS December 6, 2001	Garden City Providence RY & CITY COD No. of Pag (including t	□ Hartford □ Rochester Fax#: 703.305.3014	☐ Manchester ☐ San Frencisco Tele	New York City Washington Phone #: NEEDED.

Practitioner's Docket No. __700157/47483-C_

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Kolodner et al.

Application No.: 09/470,276 Filed:

Group No.: 1655 12/22/1999 Examiner: Fredman, J.

For: METHOD OF DETECTION OF ALTERATIONS IN MSH5

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.8(a))

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being forwarded via facsimile to the United States Patent and Trademark Office to (703) 305,3014 on the date shown below.

Patricia W. Turner

Signature of person mailing paper

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

LETTER

This will confirm our telephone conversation this date. A Response Under 37 CFR 1.116 was forwarded to the Patent and Trademark Office on October 22, 2001 (see Certificate of Mailing). From our discussion of this date, it was determined that this was not received by the PTO. We are forwarding via facsimile a copy of that Response Under 37 CFR 1.116 for your consideration.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Respectfully submitted,

Of Event Ronald I. Eisenstein

Nixon Peabody LLP 101 Federal Street Boston, MA 02110

617.345.6054

DOCKET NO. 700157/47483-C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Kolodner et al.

Application No.: 09/470,276

Group No.: 1655

Filed: December 22, 1999

Examiner: Fredman, J.

FOR: METHOD OF DETECTION OF ALTERATIONS IN MSH5

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence, on the date shown below. is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to Box AF, Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, DC 20231:

Response Under 37 C.F.R. 1.116 (5 pages);

Amendment or Response After Final Rejection Transmittal (4 pages);

Check for \$55.00;

Certificate of Mailing (1 page); and

Return Receipt Postcard.

Date: 10/20/01

Patricia W. Turner

BOX AF

Practitioner's Docket No. 700157/47483-C

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Kolodner et al.

Application No.: 09/470,276

Filed: 12/22/1999

Assistant Commissioner for

Washington, D.C. 20231

Group No.: 1655 Examiner: Fredman, J. For: METHOD OF DETECTION OF ALTERATIONS IN MSH5

> RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116 EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

> > EXAMINING GROUP

1655

Patents

NOTE: To take advantage of the expedited procedure the envelope in which this paper is mailed must be addressed as shown and must also be marked "Box AF" in the lower left hand corner, Alternatively, this paper can be hand carried to the particular Examining Group or other area of the Office in which the application is pending, in which case any envelope in which this paper is placed must be marked as in the bold type box above. Notice of Sept. 20. 1985 (1059 O.G. 19-20). See M.P.E.P. § 714.13, 6th ed., rev. 3.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory: Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents. Washington, D.C. 20231.

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

37 C.F.R. § 1.10*

with sufficient postage as first class mail.

as "Express Mail Post Office to Address" Mailing Label No. ______ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: 10/20/01

Patricia W. Turner

(type or print name of person certifying)

*WARNING:

Each paper or fee filed by "Express Mail" must have the number of the "Express Mail" mailing label placed thereon prior to mailing, 37 C.F.R. § 1.10(b).

"Since the filing of correspondence under \S 1.10 without the Express Mail mailing label thereon is an oversight that can be avoided by the exercise of reasonable care, requests for waiver of this requirement will not be granted on petition." Notice of Oct. 21, 1996, 60 Fed. Reg. 56,439, at 56,442.

(Amendment or Response After Final Rejection, Transmittal, page 1 of 4)

AMENDMENT OR RESPONSE AFTER FINAL REJECTION TRANSMITTAL

Transmitted herewith is an amendment after final rejection (37 C.F.R. § 1.116) for this application.

NOTE: Response to Final Relection, Avoiding Extension Feet." In patent applications wherein a three month Shortsned Statius;
Period (SSP) is set for response to a Final Rejection, the response would best be filed within two months of the date of the
Office Action, If filed within two months, any Advisory Action mailed after the SSP expires will reset the SSP to expire or
the date of the Advisory Action for extension fee purposes, but never more than six months from the date of the Final
Rejection." Notice of Nov. 30, 1990 (1122) OG 571 to 991, See M.P. E. 8, 714, 13, 6th, ed., rev. 3.

STATUS

- 2. Applicant is
 - [X] a small entity. A statement:
 - [] is attached. [X] was already filed.
 - [] other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: As to a Supplemental Amendment filed in response to a final office action, the Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35) states:

"If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has cased for run.

3,

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

 (a) [X] Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below;

	Extension	Fee for other than	Fee for
	(months)	small entity	small entity
[X]	one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
[]	two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
[]	three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
[]	four months	\$ 1.440.00	\$ 720.00

Fee: \$ 55.00

If additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

[]	An extension for months has already been secured and the fee paid therefor of
	\$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension no
	requested.

Extension fee due with this request

\$ _55.00

OR

(b) [] Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col.1)		(Col. 2) (Col. 3) SMALL ENTITY				OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY		
	Claims Remaini After Amendm	ng	Highest No. Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Rate	Addit. Fee	OR	Rate	Addit. Fee
Total	•	Minus	**	==	x \$9 =	\$		x \$18 =	\$
Indep.	*	Minus	***		x \$39 ≈	\$		x \$78 =	S
[] Firs	st Presentat	ion of Mu	ltiple Depende	nt Claim	+ \$130 =	- \$	_	+ \$260 =	\$
					Total Addit. Fee	s	OR	Total Addit. Fee	s

If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "O" in Col. 3,

The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or Indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: See 3" C.F.R. § 1.116.

^{**} If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20".

*** If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3".

(c)

	(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)
[X]	No additional fee is required.

		OR
	(d)	[] Total additional fee required is \$
		FEE PAYMENT
5.	[X] []	Attached is a check in the sum of \$ Charge Account No the sum of \$ A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.
		FEE DEFICIENCY
NOTE:	cover the expired authori Branch	there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to te additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, ist-month period has before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those intendes where cation to charge it included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance in order to apply these charges prior to action on the case, Authorization to charge the deposit account for an etency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).
6.	[X]	If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 50-0850.
		AND/OR
	[X]	Please charge Account 50-0850 for any fee deficiency or credit to account any overpayment.

Reg. No.: 30,628

Tel. No.: (617) 345-6054

Customer No.: 26248

Ronald I. Eisenstein (type or print name of practitioner) Nixon Peabody LLP

101 Federal Street

P.O. Address

Boston, MA 02110

RESPONSE UNDER

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP

DOCKET NO. 700157/47483-C

#12/19/01 CNE

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT: Kolodner et al.

EXAMINER: Fredman, J.

SERIAL NO.: 09/470,276

GROUP: 1655

FILED: December 22, 1999

FOR: METHOD OF DETECTION OF ALTERATIONS IN MSH5

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

AMENDMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.116

In response to the final Office Action dated June 21, 2001, enclosed is a petition for a one month extension of time and payment of fee.

Please amend the application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS

Please cancel claims 1, 5, 9, 11 and 13-38.

- An isolated and purified nucleotide segment having the sequence as set forth in SEQ ID NO:1, wherein said segment is no more than 6000 nucleotides.
- 3. An isolated nucleotide segment of no more than 3000 nucleotides containing a fragment of at least 17 contiguous nucleotides as set forth in SEQ ID NO:1, with the exception that said fragment cannot be selected only from base pairs 1908-2900.